## Probability in High Dimensions

Solutions to Exercises, Week 5

August 14, 2020

## 2.4 Variance identities and exponential ergodicity

**Problem 2.11** (Covariance identities). Let  $P_t$  be a reversible ergodic Markov semigroup with stationary measure  $\mu$ .

a. Prove the following identity:

$$\operatorname{Cov}_{\mu}(f,g) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}(P_{t}f, P_{t}g) dt.$$

b. Prove the following identity:

$$\operatorname{Cov}_{\mu}(f,g) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}(f,P_{t}g)dt$$

- c. Let  $X \sim N(0, \Sigma)$  be a random vector with each of the entries having positive correlation, i.e.  $\Sigma_{ij} \geq 0$  for all i, j. Prove that this implies the following much strong positive association property: for every pair of functions f, g that are coordinatewise increasing, we have  $Cov(f(X), g(X)) \geq 0$ .
- Solution. a) Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is self-adjoint

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{Cov} \left( P_t f, P_t g \right) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle P_t f, P_t g \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{L} P_t f, P_t g \rangle + \langle P_t f, \mathcal{L} P_t g \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle P_t f, \mathcal{L} P_t g \rangle = -2\mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t g). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $P_t$  is ergodic,

$$\operatorname{Cov}(f,g) = \operatorname{Cov}(P_0f, P_0g) - \lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Cov}(P_tf, P_tg)$$
$$= -\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \operatorname{Cov}(P_tf, P_tg) \,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= 2\int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}(P_tf, P_tg) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t g) &= \langle P_t f, \mathcal{L} P_t g \rangle \\ &= \langle f, P_t \mathcal{L} P_t g \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \mathcal{L} P_{2t} g \rangle = -\mathcal{E}(f, P_{2t} g) \end{aligned}$$

since  $P_t$  is self-adjoint and  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $P_t$  commute. A change of variable yields

$$2\int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}(P_t f, P_t g) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}(f, P_t g) \mathrm{d}t.$$

c) Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  be a centred Gaussian vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Assume that  $\Sigma_{i,j} > 0$  for all i.j. Then for any two coordinate-wise increasing functions f, g we have that

$$Cov_{\mu}(f,g) = \mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] - \mathbb{E}[f(X)]\mathbb{E}[g(X)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[f(\Sigma^{1/2}Y)g(\Sigma^{1/2}Y)] - \mathbb{E}[f(\Sigma^{1/2}Y)]\mathbb{E}[g(\Sigma^{1/2}Y)]$$
$$= Cov_{\nu}[\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}],$$

where  $\nu = \mathcal{N}(0, I), \ \tilde{f}(\cdot) = f(\Sigma^{1/2} \cdot)$  and  $\tilde{g}(\cdot) = g(\Sigma^{1/2} \cdot)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Cov}_{\nu}[\tilde{f},\tilde{g}] \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \mathcal{E}(P_{t}\tilde{f},P_{t}\tilde{g}) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \mathbb{E}_{\nu} \left[ \nabla P_{t}\tilde{f}(\xi) \cdot \nabla P_{t}\tilde{g}(\xi) \right] \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \int \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \nabla \int \nu(\mathrm{d}\psi) \tilde{f} \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\psi \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \nabla \int \nu(\mathrm{d}\xi) \tilde{f} \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\psi \right) \tilde{g} \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\xi \right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \int \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int \nu(\mathrm{d}\xi) \nabla \tilde{f} \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\psi \right) \cdot \nabla \tilde{g} \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\xi \right) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t \int \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \int \nu(\mathrm{d}\xi) \int \nu(\mathrm{d}\psi) \nabla f \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}\Sigma^{1/2}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\Sigma^{1/2}\psi \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \nabla g \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t}\Sigma^{1/2}x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}}\Sigma^{1/2}\xi \right). \end{split}$$

Then notice that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j f\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi\right) (\Sigma^{1/2})_{i,j} \mathrm{e}^{-t},$$

and thus

$$\nabla f \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \cdot \nabla g \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \xi \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{j} f \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \partial_{k} g \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) (\Sigma^{1/2})_{i,j} (\Sigma^{1/2})_{i,k} \mathrm{e}^{-2t}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{j} f \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \partial_{k} g \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \mathrm{e}^{-2t} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Sigma^{1/2})_{i,j} (\Sigma^{1/2})_{i,k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_{j} f \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \partial_{k} g \left( \mathrm{e}^{-t} \Sigma^{1/2} x + \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{e}^{-2t}} \Sigma^{1/2} \psi \right) \mathrm{e}^{-2t} \Sigma_{kj}^{1/2} ,$$

since  $\Sigma^{1/2}$  is symmetric. The result follows: all terms are positive since f, g are coordinate-wise increasing, whence their partial derivatives are all positive, and all entries of  $\Sigma$  are positive.

**Problem 2.12** (Local Poincaré inequalities I). Let  $P_t$  be a Markov semigroup with generator  $\mathcal{L}$ . For the purposes of this problem, we do not assume the existence of a stationary measure.

a. Prove the following variance identity:

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 = 2 \int_o^t P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) ds$$

b. Suppose that we can prove an identity of the form

$$\Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) \le \alpha(s) P_s \Gamma(f, f)$$

for some function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Conclude that

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \le c(t) P_t \Gamma(f, f),$$

where  $c(t) = \int_0^t 2\alpha(s) ds$ . Such an inequality is called a local Poincaré inequality.

c. Let  $(W_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  be standard Brownian motion. Compute an explicit expression for its semigroup and generator, and show that in this case

$$\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \le P_t \Gamma(f, f).$$

Show that the local Poincaré inequality consequently provides an alternative proof of the Gaussian Poincaré inequality using Brownian motion.

d. Let  $\nu$  be a positive measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 \wedge |x|)\nu(dx) < \infty$ , and let X be an infinitely divisible random variable whose characteristic function has the Lévy-Khintchin representation  $\mathbf{E}[e^{iux}] = \exp\left\{\int (e^{iuz} - 1)\nu(dz)\right\}$ . Then,  $X \sim X_1$ , where  $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  is the Lévy process with Lévy measure  $\nu$ . The latter is Markov with generator

$$\mathcal{L}f(x) = \int D_y f(x) \nu(dy), \quad where \ D_y f(x) \coloneqq f(x+y) - f(x).$$

Use the above machinery to prove the following Poincaré inequality:

$$\operatorname{Var}[f(X)] \leq \mathbf{E}\left[\int \left(D_y f(X)\right)^2 \nu(dy)\right].$$

In particular, deduce Poincaré inequalities for the Poisson distribution and the one-sided exponential distribution (the latter being distinct from both Poincaré inequalities deduced in Problem 2.9).

## Solution. a. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} P_{t-s} (P_s f)^2 &= -\mathcal{L} P_{t-s} (P_s f)^2 + 2P_{t-s} \left[ (P_s f) (\mathcal{L} P_s f) \right] \\ &= -P_{t-s} \left[ \mathcal{L} (P_s f)^2 - 2(P_s f) (\mathcal{L} P_s f) \right] = -2P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both from  $0 \le s \le t$  gives

$$\int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} P_{t-s}(P_s f)^2 ds = (P_t f)^2 - P_t(f^2) = -2 \int_0^t P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) ds$$

as required.

b. Applying the inequality  $\Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) \leq \alpha(s) P_s \Gamma(f, f)$  to equality of previous, gives

$$P_{t}(f^{2}) - (P_{t}f)^{2} = 2\int_{0}^{t} P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_{s}f, P_{s}f)ds \leq 2\int_{0}^{t} \alpha(s)P_{t-s}P_{s}\Gamma(f, f)ds = P_{t}\Gamma(f, f)\int_{0}^{t} 2\alpha(s)ds = P_{t}\Gamma(f, f)c(t)$$
(1)

as required.

c. Brownian Motion has the following semigroup

$$P_t f(x) = E[f(x + \sqrt{t\epsilon})] \quad \epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$$

with generator derived from

$$\mathcal{L}P_t f(x) = \frac{d}{dt} E[f(x + \sqrt{t}\epsilon)]$$
  
=  $E[f'(x + \sqrt{t}\epsilon)\frac{\epsilon}{2\sqrt{t}}] = E[\frac{1}{2}f''(x + \sqrt{t}\epsilon)] = P_t \frac{1}{2}f''(x)$ 

implying  $\mathcal{L}f(x) = \frac{1}{2}f''(x)$ . The inner coming from applying  $E[\epsilon g(\epsilon)] = E[g'(\epsilon)]$ , with  $g(\epsilon) = f'(x + \sqrt{t}\epsilon)$  and  $g'(\epsilon) = f''(x + \sqrt{t}\epsilon)\sqrt{t}$ . Noting that  $\mathcal{L}(f^2) = (f')^2 + ff''$  we see that

$$\Gamma(f,f) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{L}(f^2) - 2f\mathcal{L}f \right] = \frac{1}{2} (f')^2.$$

Therefore while noting that  $\frac{d}{dx}P_tf(x) = P_tf'(x)$ , we have

$$\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (P_t f)' \right]^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( P_t f' \right)^2 \le \frac{1}{2} P_t (f')^2 = P_t \Gamma(f, f)$$

with the inequality coming from the convexity of  $x^2$ . Therefore we can apply local Poincare inequality with  $\alpha(s) = 1$ , and thus c(t) = 2t, giving

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \le t P_t \left[ (f')^2 \right].$$

Next note we can rescale Brownian motion to obtain a N(0, 1) random variable. Letting h be some integrable function under N(0, 1) measure, then we can state

$$Var(h(\epsilon)) = Var\left(h\left(\frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right)$$

where  $B_t$  is Brownian motion. Therefore substituting  $f(x) = h\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$  and  $f'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}h'\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$  into the local Poincare inequalities of previous will give

$$\begin{aligned} Var(h(\epsilon)) &= E[h(\epsilon)^2] - E^2[h(\epsilon)] \\ &= E[f(B_t)^2] - E^2[f(B_t)] \\ &= P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \le t P_t \left( (f')^2 \right) = t E[(f'(B_t))^2] = E\left[ h'\left(\frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^2 \right] = E[h'(\epsilon)^2] \end{aligned}$$

as required.

d.

**Problem 2.13** (Local Poincaré inequalities II). The approach of Problem 2.12 makes it possible to obtain Poincaré inequalities using Markov processes that do not admit a stationary measure. However, even for ergodic Markov processes, it can be useful to develop a Poincaré inequality for the stationary measure  $\mu$  by letting  $t \to \infty$  in a local Poincaré inequality. The reason for this is the following result that will be proved in this problem.

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $c\Gamma_2(f, f) \ge \Gamma(f, f)$  for all f (Bakry-Émery criterion).
- 2.  $\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \leq e^{-2t/c} P_t \Gamma(f, f)$  for all f, t (local ergodicity).
- 3.  $P_t(f^2) (P_t f)^2 \le c(1 e^{-2t/c})P_t\Gamma(f, f)$  for all f, t (local Poincaré).

Here we defined

$$\Gamma_2(f,g) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathcal{L}\Gamma(f,g) - \Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}g) - \Gamma(\mathcal{L}f,g) \right\}.$$

- This is called the iterated carré du champ or  $\Gamma_2$ -operator. (Further discussion is provided in the notes, page 43). Let us prove the various implications of the above theorem.
  - a. Prove  $2 \Rightarrow 3$ . Hint: this follows easily as in Problem 2.12.
  - b. Prove  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ . Hint:  $\frac{d}{ds}P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf, P_sf)$ .
  - c. Prove  $3 \Rightarrow 1$ . Hint:  $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} t^{-2} \{ P_t(f^2) (P_t f)^2 c(1 e^{-2t/c}) P_t \Gamma(f, f) \}.$
  - d. This part is too long and is given in the notes.
- Solution. a. By assumption, we have  $\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \leq e^{-2t/c} P_t \Gamma(f, f)$  for all f and t. Now, since  $2 \int_0^t e^{-2s/c} ds = c(1 e^{-2t/c})$ , then by Problem 2.12 (b) we have that

$$P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 \le c(1 - e^{-2t/c})P_t\Gamma(f, f).$$

for all f and t.

b. By the chain rule, we have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{ds}P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf) &= \frac{d}{ds}P_{t-s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}\left((P_sf)^2\right) - P_sf\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right) \\ &= -\mathcal{L}P_{t-s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}\left((P_sf)^2\right) - P_sf\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right) + \\ P_{t-s}\left(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}\left(2P_sf\frac{d}{ds}(P_sf)\right) - \frac{d}{ds}P_sf\mathcal{L}(P_sf) - P_sf\frac{d}{ds}\left(\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right)\right) \\ &= P_{t-s}\left(-\mathcal{L}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf) + \mathcal{L}\left(P_sf\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right) - \left(\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right)^2 - \left(P_sf\mathcal{L}^2(P_sf)\right)\right) \\ &= P_{t-s}\left(-\mathcal{L}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf) + 2\Gamma\left(P_sf,\mathcal{L}(P_sf)\right)\right) \\ &= -2P_{t-s}\Gamma_2\left(P_sf,P_sf\right) \\ &\leq \frac{-2}{c}P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf), \end{split}$$

where the inequality follows from assuming that condition (1) in the above theorem is true. Now, since  $\Gamma(f, f) \ge 0$  for all f, we have that  $P_{t-s}\Gamma(f, f) \ge 0$ , which implies that

$$\frac{\frac{d}{ds}P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf)}{P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf,P_sf)} \leq \frac{-2}{c}$$

for all f, which we can also write as

$$\frac{d}{ds}\log P_{t-s}\Gamma(P_sf, P_sf) \le \frac{-2}{c}.$$

Then, integrating both sides from s = 0 to t, we have that

$$\log \Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) - \log P_t \Gamma(f, f) \le \frac{-2t}{c},$$

which finally implies that

$$\Gamma(P_t f, P_t f) \le e^{-2t/c} P_t \Gamma(f, f).$$

c. We have from 2.12(a)

$$\begin{split} 0 &\geq P_t(f^2) - (P_t f)^2 - c(1 - e^{-2t/c}) P_t \Gamma(f, f) \\ &= 2 \int_{s=0}^t P_{t-s} \Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) \mathrm{d}s - c(1 - e^{-2t/c}) P_t \Gamma(f, f) \\ &= 2 \int_{s=0}^t \left[ P_t \Gamma(f, f) + \int_{u=0}^s \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} P_{t-u} \Gamma(P_s f, P_s f) \mathrm{d}u \right] \mathrm{d}s - c(1 - e^{-2t/c}) P_t \Gamma(f, f) \end{split}$$

and from part (b)

$$= 2tP_t\Gamma(f,f) - 4\int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=0}^s P_{t-u}\Gamma_2(P_uf,P_uf) duds - c(1-e^{-2t/c})P_t\Gamma(f,f)$$
  
$$= 2tP_t\Gamma(f,f) - 4\int_{s=0}^t \int_{u=0}^s \left[P_t\Gamma_2(f,f) + \int_{r=0}^u (\cdots) dr\right] duds - c(1-e^{-2t/c})P_t\Gamma(f,f)$$
  
$$= 2tP_t\Gamma(f,f) - 4P_t\Gamma_2(f,f)\frac{t^2}{2} - 2tP_t\Gamma(f,f) + c\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2t}{c}\right)^2 P_t\Gamma(f,f) + o(t^2)$$

after cancelling and and expanding the remaining  ${\cal P}_t$  terms

$$= \frac{2}{c}\Gamma(f,f)t^2 - 2\Gamma_2(f,f)t^2 + o(t^2).$$

After dividing by  $t^2$  and taking limits we conclude that  $\frac{\Gamma(f,f)}{c} - \Gamma_2(f,f) \leq 0$  or equivalently that  $\Gamma(f,f) \leq c\Gamma_2(f,f)$ .

d. Let us first compute  $\Gamma(f,f)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Gamma(f,f) &= \mathcal{L}(f^2) - 2f\mathcal{L}f \\ &= -\sum \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_i} 2f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + 2\sum \left[ f \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \right] - 2f\mathcal{L}f \\ &= 2\|\nabla f\|^2 \\ \Gamma(f,f) &= \|\nabla f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_2(f,f) &:= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \mathcal{L} \Gamma(f,f) - 2 \Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}f) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \Gamma(f,f) - \Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}f). \end{split}$$

First we compute

$$\mathcal{L}\Gamma(f,f) = \mathcal{L} \|\nabla f\|^{2}$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} \right]$$

$$= -2\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} + 2\sum_{i,j} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \right)^{2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial^{3} f}{\partial^{2} x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(f\mathcal{L}f) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left\{ f\mathcal{L}f \right\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \left[ f\mathcal{L}f \right] \\ &= -\mathcal{L}f \sum_{i} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} - f \sum_{i} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}f}{\partial x_{i}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f \frac{\partial^{2}\mathcal{L}f}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}f}{\partial x_{i}} + \mathcal{L}f \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} \right] \\ &= [\mathcal{L}f]^{2} + f\mathcal{L}^{2}f + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}f}{\partial x_{i}} \end{split}$$

whence it follows that

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}f) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{L}(f\mathcal{L}f) - (\mathcal{L}f)^2 - f\mathcal{L}^2 f \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ [\mathcal{L}f]^2 + f\mathcal{L}^2 f + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla \mathcal{L}f \rangle - (\mathcal{L}f)^2 - f\mathcal{L}^2 f \right] \\ &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla \mathcal{L}f \rangle \\ \Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}f) &= -\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_j}. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} 2\Gamma_2(f,f) &= \mathcal{L}\Gamma(f,f) - 2\Gamma(f,\mathcal{L}f) \\ &= -2\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + 2\sum_{i,j} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial^2 x_i \partial x_j} \right] \\ &+ 2\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + 2\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - 2\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i^2 \partial x_j} \end{split}$$

and after cancellations

$$= 2 \sum_{i,j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$
$$= 2 \langle \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla f, \Delta W \nabla f \rangle$$
$$\geq 2 \langle \nabla f, \Delta W \nabla f \rangle$$
$$\geq 2 \rho \| \nabla f \|^2$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) \geq \rho \Gamma(f, f),$$

by the  $\rho\text{-uniform}$  convexity assumption.